Violations of Market Value Standards with the Income Approach

Trevor R. Ellis, cpg, cma, cga, fausimm

Mineral Property Appraiser
Ellis International Services, Inc.

Denver, Colorado

www.minevaluation.com

Or:

Don't Get Caught Doing This!

- Mined stockpile of an industrial mineral
- Report by a mining consulting house stated the value at approx. \$300 million
- Value calculated from the quoted market price of the mineral refined to high purity
- Two individuals lost most of their life's savings investing in a project to process the stockpile

- Author's appraisal for commercial loan security: \$0 to negative
- Problems:
 - Serious title issues
 - Remote from markets
 - Serious metallurgical process uncertainties

- Consultant's value estimate:
 - Based on refined value of all of the *in situ* industrial mineral (\$/lb x total lbs)
 - No accounting for:
 - Capital costs
 - Operating costs
 - Transport costs
 - Project risk
 - Project schedule

- No attempt by consultant to consider the market for the subject *as is*
- If it was worth that much, why was it still there?

- Package of dormant gold mines
- Mining Engineer estimated Net Present Value as ~\$10 Billion
- His report presented to a major commercial lender as security for financing
- Report contains detailed mine development and operating plans and cash flow calculations

- Author's preliminary review for lender found market value likely <\$10 million
- Problems with mining engineer's report:
 - No market transaction derived inputs
 - Highly optimistic revenue projections
 - Extremely low cost projections
 - Project risk largely ignored
- An individual who loaned \$200 million likely lost it all

- No attempt by mining engineer to consider the market for the subject *as is*
- Both lenders confused investment value for market value
- If the subject was worth that much, why were the mines not already redeveloped?

- Dormant onyx mine, last mined 60 years ago
- Remote from major product markets
- Reports by three consultants over last 20 years place the property value at \$2 Billion \$6 Billion
- Owner bought it 20 years ago for \$20 million
- A mining consultant's recent "aggressively conservative" detailed appraisal shows the value as at least \$2.8 Billion

- Owner applied for financing based on the "aggressively conservative" appraisal
- Author's review found:
 - Consultant did a detailed study of product's market
 - No market valuation principles applied using inputs from property market transactions
 - Value estimated based on:
 - Summation of 100+ years of projected mine income
 - No time value discounting
 - High product transportation cost ignored

- None of the four appraisals considered the market for the subject *as is* (sales comparison approach)
- If the onyx deposit is worth Billions:
 - Why has the mine been dormant for 60 years?
 - How could the owner have bought the property for \$20 million only 20 years ago – at the time the first appraisal said it was worth Billions?
- Owner did not receive the loan

- Undeveloped sand deposit adjacent to existing sand and gravel mine
- Highly competitive sand and gravel market with surplus of sand
- Career minerals appraiser found the market value to be >\$2 million
- Relied entirely on net present value of projected income

- Author's review found:
 - Reserve over-estimated, with little consideration for the realities of mine engineering
 - Sand specifications mismatched to demand
 - Assumed immediate mine start-up capturing a major share of the sand market
 - Capital and operating cost estimates optimistically low
 - No inclusion of project and market risk

- Appraiser did not analyze the market for the property *as is* (sales comparison approach)
- If the deposit was worth >\$2 million, why had the struggling adjacent mining operation (same ownership) not already expanded into it, and captured a major share of the sand market?

- Multiple sales of nearby sand and gravel properties, at only approximately surface value, were all ignored (deemed "not comparable")
- Due to the appraisal, the owner spent much of his personal savings on unwarranted litigation

Valid Market Value Estimation by the Income Approach

- The extractive industries standards (GN 14) of the International Valuation Standards state:
 - At 5.3.1 "Sales analysis and other market analysis can often yield market factors such as a market discount rate, a risk factor or uncertainty factor that may be used in the Income Approach."
 - At 5.3.3 "For the Valuer to report a Market Value estimate resulting from such an [NPV/DCF/real options] analysis, all inputs and assumptions must reflect available market-based evidence and current expectations and perceptions of market participants, in accordance with GN 9. Any departure from the requirements and analysis protocol of GN 9 must be specified."

Valid Market Value Estimation by the Income Approach

- A market-based discount rate can often be extracted by sales analysis of an operation of similar nature and similar lifespan
- Care must be taken that analysis calculation for the sale is conducted on a similar basis as the analysis of the subject

Year	Activity	Production Tons/yr (000)	Investment & Expense \$(000)	Royalty Cents/ton	Income \$(000)	PV @ 9.6% \$(000)
1999	Purchase		835		-835	-835
2000	Permit		42		-42	-38
2001	Production	60	1	75	44	37
2002		100	1	78	77	59
2003		120	1	82	97	67
2004		120	1	85	101	64
2005		120	1	88	104	60
2006		120	1	91	108	57
2007		120	1	93	111	53
2008		120	1	96	114	50
2009		120	1	98	117	47
2010		120	1	100	119	43
2011		120	1	103	122	40
2012		120	1	103	122	37
2013		120	1	103	122	34
2014		120	1	103	122	31
2015		120	1	103	122	28
2016		120	1	103	122	26
2017		120	1	103	122	23
2018		120	1	103	122	21
2019		120	1	103	122	19
2020		120	1	103	122	18
2021		120	1	103	122	16
2022		120	1	103	122	15
2023	Reclamation		100		-100	-11
2024	Sale				400	40

Author's Observations

- Minerals appraisers who provide high value estimates generally get the most work.
- The common practice of lenders of allowing their client to choose and pay the minerals appraiser, encourages very high appraised values.
- The minerals appraiser who provides a well developed estimate of market value after another consultant has provided an astronomical value to the same client, will generally not get his last invoice paid.