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A Guide through International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs®)

including the full consolidated text of the Standards and Interpretations and accompanying documents approved for issue by the International Accounting Standards Board at 1 July 2008

with extensive cross-references and other annotations
International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

- 120 countries now permit or require use of IFRSs by stock market listed companies
- IFRSs are designed for current value (mark-to-market) financial reporting for asset values
  - US GAAP was historic value based
- The IFRSs are principles-based accounting standards
  - US GAAP was rules-based
International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) USA Adoption Status

• USA adoption by convergence project for US GAAP with IFRSs
  • Begun 2002
  • Completion in 2011
• IFRSs now allowed for financial reporting in USA by foreign listed companies
• IFRSs optional for 2010 fiscal year reporting for many USA companies
• Likely mandatory for 2014
Extractive Activities IFRS Development

• IASB in 2010 will likely set its plans for drafting a comprehensive extractive activities (minerals and oil & gas) IFRS
  – Release of the new IFRS 6 in about 4 years
  – The Extractive Activities Discussion Paper provides recommended principles to the standards writers

• Regulators may initially only allow reporting of fair value estimates of mineral assets for business combinations and impairment testing

• The International Valuation Standards (IVSs) are designed to support current value reporting under IFRSs
Project History of Extractive Activities (Draft) Discussion Paper

• 179 page paper released 10 Aug 2009
• Comments may be invited by IASB in first quarter of 2010.
• Research project scoping begun by team leader Glenn Brady in 2002
• 2004 research team began project
• The team is 7 national accounting standard setters
  – Australia, Canada, Norway, South Africa
Extractive Activities Discussion Paper – Purpose

• The purpose was to research the accounting for extractive activities of minerals and petroleum (oil & gas) industry sectors.

• The discussion paper presents the project team’s findings and recommendations developed from that research.
Extractive Activities Research Process

• Enlisted an advisory panel from:
  – operating entities in the industries
  – accounting firms
  – users of financial reports and securities regulators

• Consulted CRIRSCO, SPE, and UNECE resources classification expert groups

•Consulted many industry and investment professionals

• Obtained written submissions of comments on many Working Papers drafted by the team
  – Ellis wrote many submissions
The Preceding Research Project

- Conducted by International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) all volunteer Extractive Industries Steering Committee
- Begun 1998
- 412 page Issues Paper published Nov 2000
- 52 comment letters received by July 2001
- IASB team drew on this research and comments
Reasons for the Second Research Project

• The unusual complexities of accounting in the two industries
• The divergence of methods of accounting within and between the industries
• The variations in classifications and terminologies used within and between
• The IVSC submitted a comprehensive, strong critique of the IASC Issues Paper
  – Promised to develop an extractive industries valuation standard on a fast track schedule
EA DP Findings – General

• Considerable similarities in the reserve and resource definitions used in the minerals and oil & gas industries.
• Considerable overlap exists in the financial reporting issues the industries face.
• Therefore recommended that there should only be a single accounting and disclosure model that applies to extractive activities of both industries.
EA DP Findings – Resource-Reserve Classification Systems

- For minerals recommends the CRIRSCO Template
- For oil and gas recommends the Petroleum Resources Management System (PRMS) of the SPE/AAPG/WPC/SPEE
- Found the cross mapping since 2005 helpful
- For the United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources (UNFC)
  - Liked the unifying umbrella classification for minerals and petroleum and universally applicable scheme
  - But, inadequate acceptance, use, and testing in financial reporting
  - The IASB should review the UNFC status when it picks up the drafting of the EA standard
EA DP Findings – Asset Recognition

• Legal rights (exploration and extraction rights) should form the basis of the minerals or oil and gas asset.

• Asset recognition should occur when the legal rights are acquired (i.e. capitalize their costs)

• Geological information is associated with these legal rights
  – about the (possible) existence of minerals or oil & gas, the extent and characteristics of the deposit

• Recommends that rights and information be combined in satisfying asset recognition criteria (i.e. capitalize both)
EA DP Findings – Asset Measurement

• Considered various types of reserve asset values that could be reported:
  – Historic cost; standardized measure; and various levels of fair value

• Found fair value little relied on by users and costly to prepare

• Standardized measure less costly, but conveys little useful information

• Recommended historic cost as the lesser of evils
EA DP Findings – Disclosure

• Recommends extensive disclosures to ensure that users of financial reports can evaluate:
  – The value attributable to an entity’s minerals and oil and gas assets
  – The contribution of those assets to current period financial performance
  – The nature and extent of risks and uncertainties associated with those assets

• Disclosure of reserves is not a regulatory matter, but an element of the financial statements
  – similar to disclosure of biological assets
EA DP Findings – Disclosure

• Recommends detailed disclosures about the quantities of reserves, resources, production revenues and costs

• If the assets are measured at historical cost then detailed information should be disclosed about their current value and how it was determined
  – Also provide a standardized measure of reserves

• If the assets are measured at fair value, even more detailed information should be disclosed
  – about that the determination of that fair value and testing a range of value